

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63677 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-292 Year-2025 Thana- LAHERIMUHALLA District- Nalanda

1. Goldy @ Md. Goldy S/O Haidar Mistry @ Mohd. Haidar Resident of Mohalla- Gagan Diwan, P.S- Leheri, District- Nalanda.
2. Md. Faizan S/O Haidar Mistry @ Mohd. Haidar Resident of Mohalla- Gagan Diwan, P.S- Leheri, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in Laheri P. S. Case No.292 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 324(2), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 has antecedent of one case and petitioner no.2 is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he is a Reserved Guard at Police Line on 22.06.225 at 10.00 P.M., he along with his friend were returning to police line when they saw 7-8 accused standing near a petrol pump. Further, two



accused intercepted them and started pulling keys of the motorcycling in a drunken condition. On protest, other accused came and assaulted them with iron rod, iron knuckle on their head, leg and back causing injury. Further, people gathered and videographed the occurrence, petitioners were identified in the video footage.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature i.e. no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioners.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that informant is a police personnel and while he was returning to police line along with his friend, he was intercepted by unknown accused who assaulted them. It is further submitted that accused would not have been identified, had the occurrence not been videographed. It is also submitted that investigation is in its nascent stages and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioners may abscond.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



7. The prayer of the petitioners for anticipatory bail
stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

