

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61979 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2025 Thana- KURSAILA District- Katihar

Satish Kumar S/o Pandit Singh @ Pandit Lal Singh, R/o Village - Mahuli, P.s.
- Didarganj, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Durgesh Nandan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 15-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 316(5), 318(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, the informant namely, Ravindra Kumar alleges that the co-accused persons including the petitioner dishonestly misappropriated loan installments collected from various customers as it was neither deposited into company's bank account nor reported to the office and in an audit and on enquiry conducted by the company, it was revealed that total amount to the tune of Rs. 5,37,357/- was fraudulently collected and misappropriated.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Petitioner joined the said company on 17.04.2019 and in fact, he resigned on 30.11.2023 and he was put on 3 month's notice and ultimately his resignation was accepted on 27.02.2024. Petitioner had also received a no dues certificate issued by the company on 07.02.2025 and on that day, no dues, nothing of the sort was against the petitioner. By filing supplementary affidavit, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without admitting his guilt, petitioner is ready to deposit Rs. 47,380/- in the Nazarat of the concerned Civil Court, which was allegedly collected by the petitioner from the customers and which he did not deposit in the bank.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest / surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Katihar in connection with



Kurshaila P.S. Case No. 71 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. / Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 as well as on the following conditions:

(i) At the time of furnishing the bail bonds, Rs. 47,380/- shall be deposited by the petitioner in the Nazarat of the learned trial Court by way of demand draft.

(ii) If the petitioner fails to comply with the aforesaid direction of this Court, the learned trial Court shall be at the liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

7. It is made clear that without going into the merit of this case, aforesaid order has been passed only for the purpose of grant of bail and this deposit would be subject to the final result of the criminal case.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

