

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71374 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2016 Thana- C.B.I CASE District- Patna

Juli Kumari @ Sarita Kumari Wife of Suresh Kumar Singh @ Suresh Kumar
Resident of Ward No.- 12, Village - Bhalhi, P.O.- Harpur Bhalha, Via -
Bajpatti, P.S.- Bathnaha, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The Central Bureau of Investigation, ACB Patna, Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saket Tiwary

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Nivedita Nirvikar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the CBI.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 120B, 420, 468, 471 of the IPC and Section 13(2) read with Section 13(1)(D) of the Prevention of Corruption Act in connection with RC 14(A) of 2016.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman. It is next submitted that the case was handed over to the CBI for investigation under the orders of this Court. It is also submitted that during the course of investigation the petitioner cooperated with the CBI and also appeared as and when required. It is next



submitted that CBI has already submitted charge sheet in the instant case and never felt the need of arresting the petitioner during the course of investigation, as such no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner to jail. It is further submitted that in sum and substance the allegation against the petitioner is that she obtained appointment as GDS based on fake *Madhayama* certificate.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the CBI does not dispute the said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that charge sheet has been submitted and the petitioner during the course of investigation cooperated in the investigation and the CBI never felt the need to arrest the petitioner.

5. Since the petitioner has cooperated in the investigation and charge sheet came to be submitted, as such petitioner in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on her furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge, CBI, Muzaffarpur in connection with RC 14(A) of 2016, subject to the conditions laid down under Section



438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that if the learned Trial Court comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after obtaining anticipatory bail, is trying to delay the trial in any manner, in that event, the Trial Court would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

