

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68911 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-345 Year-2024 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

Jai @ Jack Kejriwal Son of Sushil Kejriwal @ Sushil Kumar R/O--Village -
Bargainia, P.S.- Bargainia Dist.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Virendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 13-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 345 of 2024 instituted for the offence
under Sections 394 & 397 of the Indian Penal Code and Section
27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, informant was attacked by
three armed miscreants on a motorcycle while waiting near a
college on 06-06-2024. They attempted to snatch his bag, and
upon resistance, they shot him in the right palm before fleeing
with his belongings. His uncle later arrived and took him to the
hospital, leading to the registration of the present FIR.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner



that the petitioner is in custody since 18-06-2024. Petitioner bears five criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of his self-confessional statement. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that police after investigation has already submitted charge sheet in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Referring to paragraph Nos. 6, 7 & 10 of the case diary, it is submitted that witnesses have supported the prosecution case. On perusal of the case diary, as per paragraph No. 35, which is confessional statement of petitioner wherein he has confessed his indulgence in the alleged offence.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being enough material against the petitioner in the case diary, which is corroborated by his self-confessional statement, this Court, at this stage, is not inclined to grant bail to



the petitioner. Prayer for grant of regular bail is hereby *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

