

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.159 of 2022

1. Md. Ehsan Ali Son of Norr Mohammad Ansari Assistant Teacher, Madarsa Misbahul Uloom At Mujaulia Bazar, Post Office Bathuwara, Via Parihar, District Sitamarhi, (Bihar).
2. Abdul Latif Son of Kalab Hussain Assistant Teacher, Madarsa Misbahul Uloom AT Mujaulia Bazar, Post Office Bathuwara, Via Parihar, District Sitamarhi, (Bihar).
3. Md. Fazlur Rahman Ansari Son of Md. Nurul Hoda Ansari Assistant Teacher, Madarsa Misbahul Uloom AT Mujaulia Bazar, Post Office Bathuwara, Via Parihar, District Sitamarhi, (Bihar).
4. Md. Murtuza Ansari Son of Md. yakub Ansari Assistant Teacher, Madarsa Misbahul Uloom AT Mujaulia Bazar, Post Office Bathuwara, Via Parihar, District Sitamarhi, (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi.
4. The Bihar State Madarsa Education Board, 5, Vidyapati Marg, Patna, Bihar State Madarsa Education Board, 5, Vidyapati Marg, Patna - 800001.
5. The Secretary, Bihar State Madarsa Education Board, 5, Vidyapati Marg, Patna - 800001.
6. The Madarasa Misbahul Uloom At Mujaulia Bazar, Post Office Bathuwara, Via Parihar, District Sitamarhi, (Bihar).
7. The Secretary, Madarasa Misbahul Uloom At Mujaulia Bazar, Post Office Bathuwara, Via Parihar, District Sitamarhi, (Bihar).
8. The Head Moulvi, Madarasa Misbahul Uloom At Mujaulia Bazar, Post Office Bathuwara, Via Parihar, District Sitamarhi, (Bihar).

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Subhash Chandra Mishra (Sc16)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

9 19-11-2025 No representation on behalf of the petitioners. The
matter was listed on 19.03.2025, 02.04.2025, 30.04.2025, 18.06.2025



and 27.08.2025 but there was no appearance on behalf of the counsel for the petitioners. Further, on 27.08.2025 this Court has directed the petitioners to remove the defect(s) pointed out by the Registry but till date the same have not been removed.

3. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the case.

4. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed for non-proseuction.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Bhardwaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

