

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64454 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-53 Year-2023 Thana- MUSRIGHRARI District- Samastipur

Bablu Miya @ Sazid @ Bablu @ Md Sajid @ Md Sajid ali Son of Md. Ashraf
R/o Village - Kasbe Ahar Tola Dargah, P.S. - Tajpur, District - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahendra Pratap, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 15-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Musrigharari P.S. Case No. 53 of 2023 instituted for the offences under Sections 399, 402, 467, 468, 412, 420, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case is that on secret information, police apprehended four miscreants at Gangapur Parking, recovering country-made pistols, live cartridges, cash, and looted motorcycles. During interrogation, they confessed involvement in prior bank robberies and disclosed the petitioner's role in the crimes.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused Javed @ Niyaz who was apprehended on the spot. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the co-accused persons. Learned counsel further submitted that except confessional statement of the co-accused person, there is no material against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 07.05.2025 and has nine criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Musrigharari P.S.
Case No. 53 of 2023, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of
the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date
fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two
consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the
bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the
witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move
for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

