

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64191 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-298 Year-2025 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

1. Budhan Yadav @ Ranjay Kumar S/o Late Jai Yadav @ Jay Yadav Resident of vill- Tarwan, P.S- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna
2. Ramu Yadav @ Manjay Kumar @ Ramu Kumar, S/o Late Jai Yadav @ Jay Yadav Resident of vill- Tarwan, P.S- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna
3. Shivam Yadav @ Shubham Kumar S/o Late Jai Yadav @ Jay Yadav Resident of vill- Tarwan, P.S- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Suman Kumar Verma
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Gauri Shankar Gupta

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 75, 303(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that he was constructing his house when petitioners along with named accused persons came and assaulted him causing injury on head. Further, her daughter in-law was admitted in AIIMS and they also looted Rs.50,000/- and two



Jitiya of her daughter in-law.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that informant is own uncle of the petitioner, but then, from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant has not disclosed his relationship with the petitioners rather has given an impression as if he was constructing his house when accused persons came and started assaulting him. It is also submitted that no doubt, allegation of assault is alleged, but then, allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature. It is also submitted that property dispute in between the family of the informant and the petitioners is going on, on account of which an altercation had taken place in which both sides assaulted each other and the daughter in-law of the informant got dashed on the ground and she received injury, but then, injury suffered by the informant has been opined to be simple and one of the injury of the daughter in-law of the informant on forehead has been opined to be grievous, but then, allegation of assault is not specific. It is also submitted that no doubt, petitioners have one case, but then, the said case was also instituted from the side of the informant.



5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-VI, Danapur, Patna in connection with Naubatpur P. S. Case No.298 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

