

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63364 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2025 Thana- BHAIKAVSHTHAN District- Madhubani

1. Ranjit Safi @ Ranjit Kumar Safi S/O Baudhu Safi R/O Village- Mahinathpur, P.S.- Bhairab Asthan, District- Madhubani
2. Sita Devi W/O Baudhu Safi R/O Village- Mahinathpur, P.S.- Bhairab Asthan, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ansul, Sr. Advocate Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Anju Kumari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-09-2025 Heard learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. At the outset, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this anticipatory bail application on behalf of Petitioner No. 1, namely Ranjit Safi.

3. Permission, as prayed for, is accorded.

4. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application with regard to Petitioner No. 1 stands dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Petitioner No. 2 apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 103(1) and



3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

6. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his daughter was solemnized with co-accused Ranjit Safi on 24.11.2023. It is alleged that thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, committed torture and harassment with the victim for non-fulfillment of additional demand of dowry. It is further alleged that on 08.06.2025, all the accused persons, including these petitioners, committed murder of daughter of informant by strangulating her.

7. It is submitted by learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner No. 2 has falsely been implicated in this case merely because she happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased. Petitioner is separate in mess and property and has got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against the petitioner. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased. Petitioner is a lady and claims



clean antecedents.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

9. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to Petitioner No. 2 is allowed.

10. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named Petitioner No. 2 be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Jhanjharpur, District- Madhubani in connection with Bhairab Asthan P.S. Case No. 73 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

11. With the aforesaid directions, this application stands disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

