

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61784 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2025 Thana- BHAGWANPUR District- Kaimur
(Bhabua)

-
1. Chandani Devi @ Sandani Devi W/O Chandan Ram R/O Village- Tekara, P.S- Bhagwanpur, Distt.- Kaimur at Bhabua.
 2. Chandan Ram @ Avinash Kumar S/O Late Vishwanath Ram R/O Village- Tekara, P.S- Bhagwanpur, Distt.- Kaimur at Bhabua.
 3. Dhaneshari Kumari W/O Late Vishwanath Ram R/O Village- Tekara, P.S- Bhagwanpur, Distt.- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 80 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his daughter was solemnized with co-accused Pritam Kumar in the year 2024 as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, committed torture and harassment with the victim for non-fulfillment of additional demand of dowry. It is further



alleged that on 31.03.2025, informant got information that his daughter has been burnt and when he went to see her at the hospital, the victim disclosed that she was assaulted and burnt by her husband and in-laws and subsequently, on 01.04.2025, the victim died during course of treatment.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be sister-in-law (*Gotni*), Petitioner No. 2 happens to be elder brother-in-law and Petitioner No. 3 happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who has already is custody since 19.08.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and general and omnibus nature of accusation, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.



7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-1st, Kaimur at Bhabua in connection with Bhagwanpur (Belaon) P.S. Case No. 89 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

