

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16579 of 2013

Arbind Kumar Mehta Son Of Rameshwar Prasad Choudhary Resident Of
Village - Nabinagar, P.O. Munjhway, P.S. Jamui, District - Jamui Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
2. The Inspector General, Central Industrial Security Force, Patna
3. The Deputy Inspector General, Central Industrial Security Force, Unit R.S.P. Rourkela
4. The Senior Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force, Unit R.S.P. Rourkela
5. The Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force, Unit R.S.P. Rourkela
6. The Deputy Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force, Unit R.S.P. Rourkela

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mohit Ranjan, Advocate Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the U.O.I.	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Pandey, Sr. CGC Mr. R. K. Sharma, CGC Mr. Lokesh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

8 14-10-2025 1. The petitioner has filed the instant application for
the following reliefs :-

*“1. That this is an application for
issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or
direction(s) for quashing of the final order as
contained in letter No.1953, dated 4/3/2012
(Annexure-1) issued under the signature of the
Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force,
Unit R.S.P., Rourkela whereby and where under
the petitioner has been awarded the punishment*



of compulsory retirement.

And further for quashing of the Appellate order bearing No.5035, dated 12-6-2012 (Annexure-2) issued under the signature of Deputy Inspector General, Central Industrial Security Force, Unit R.S.P. Rourkela whereby and where under the Appeal preferred by the petitioner against the order dated 4-3-2012 (Annexure-1) has been rejected.

And further for quashing of the Revisional Order bearing No.12210, dated 22-10-2012 (Annexure-3) issued under the signature of Inspector General, Eastern Zone, Patna whereby and where under the Revision Petition filed by the petitioner against the orders dated 4-3-2012 and 12-6-2012 (Annexure-1 & 2 respectively) has been rejected.

And further the respondents may be directed to reinstate the petitioner on his respective posts with all consequential and monetary benefits along with the arrears of salary etc.

And/Or pass such other order(s) as your Lordships may deem fit and proper.

A copy of the orders dated 4-3-12, 12-6-12 and 22-10-2012 are annexed as ANNEXURE-1, 2 and 3 respectively to this writ application.”

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that during pendency of this application the case having become



infructuous, he seeks permission to withdraw this application.

3. In view of the above, the application stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

