

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62698 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2025 Thana- Narhiya District- Madhubani

1. Runa Devi W/o Indradev sah R/o Village - Ladaniya, P.S. - Andhramath, Dist. - Madhubani.
2. Jyoti Devi Wife of Ashok Sah R/o Village - Chhajana, P.S. - Narhiya, Dist. - Madhubani.
3. Sita Devi Wife of Late Mohan Sah R/o Village - Chhajana, P.S. - Narhiya, Dist. - Madhubani.
4. Ashok Sah @ Ashok Sahu Son of Late Mohan Sah R/o Village - Chhajana, P.S. - Narhiya, Dist. - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur Mr. Vaishnavi Singh Mr. Ritwik Thakur
For the State	:	Mr. Mohammed Arif

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80, 238, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The allegation in the first information report is that the accused persons including the present petitioners have done to death the daughter of the informant on account of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



there are general and omnibus allegations against the present petitioners and as a matter of fact, there had been a love marriage between the deceased and her husband Saroj Sah and thus, there is no question of any demand of dowry in the present case. The petitioners are the married sister-in-law (Nanad), elder sister-in-law (Jethani), mother-in-law and elder brother-in-law(Jeth) of the deceased. It is further submitted that although there is allegation of burning the deceased, there is no material in support of the same and the FIR also does not indicate the incidence of any torture having been meted out to the deceased. It is further submitted that the husband of the deceased, who is primarily responsible for the welfare of the wife, is already in custody which has been stated in paragraph-19 of the petition.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for anticipatory bail on the ground that there are serious allegations in the first information report.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that the petitioners are the in-laws of the deceased against whom general and omnibus allegations have been imputed and also considering the background that there was a love marriage between the deceased and her husband and her husband is in judicial



custody, let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Narhiya P.S. Case No. 08 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

