

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60934 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-661 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

=====

Rahul Singh @ Rahul Kumar Singh S/O Ratnesh Singh @ Ratnesh Kr. Singh
Resident of Village- Madhopur Nijama, P.S.- Mahua, Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anish Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 661 of 2025 dated 11.06.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 191(2), 190, 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 109, 132, 352, 351(2), 324(4) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, some accused persons have parked their vehicles in suspicious condition and on information, the police party reached there and seized the car and motorcycles but in the meantime, the petitioner reached there by Scorpio vehicle and trying to free the seized vehicles and after refusal the same many persons have assembled there



and started pelting stone on the police party and damaged the vehicles of police personnel.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case by local chowkidar due to previous enmity. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of any of the seized vehicles as stated in para-7 of the bail petition. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has 19 criminal antecedents in which he is on bail in all cases as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody in this case since 24.06.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 661 of 2025, with a condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically



present before the learned Court below on each and every date,
failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable
cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

