

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.272 of 2024
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5039 of 2018

1. Rita Kumari Wife of Ashok Kunwar Resident of Village- Bakhraour, P.S.- Sidhwalia, District- Gopalganj.
2. Asha Devi Wife of Amar Giri Resident of Village- Madhopur, P.S.- Barauli, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, I.C.D.S. Bihar, Patna.
2. Director, I.C.D.S. Bihar, Patna.
3. Commissioner, Chapra Division, Chapra.
4. Collector, Gopalganj.
5. District Programme Officer, Gopalganj-cum-Member Secretary, District Level Selection Committee, Gopalganj.
6. C.D.P.O., Barauli, Gopalganj.
7. Kumari Anju Srivastava D/o Sunil Kumar Sinha Resident of Village- Batarda, P.S.- Barauli, District- Gopalganj.
8. Kumari Malti Pandey D/o Surendra Tripathi Resident of Village- Biraicha, P.S. Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.
9. Sobha Devi D/o Bali Ram Roy Resident of Village- Devapur, P.S.- Barauli, Distt- Gopalganj.
10. Geeta Kumari Devi D/o Late Bashisth Pandey Resident of Village- Haluar Tiwari Tola, P.S.- Sidhwalia, District- Gopalganj.
11. Rita Kumari Wife of Shailesh Kumar R/o Village and P.O. Loharra, P.S. Sidhadiya, District- Gopalganj.
12. Shashi Kala Devi Wife of Nagendra Singh R/o Arma Bazar, P.O. and P.S. Jhirwan Bazar, District Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Anisur Rahman, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Government Advocate 7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER



3 19-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. The present civil review petition has been filed for seeking review of the order dated 22.04.2024 passed in C.W.J.C No. 5039 of 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that mistake of fact has taken place in the writ petition which may be permitted to rectify and then fresh hearing be made, as the submission has not been made in accordance with the record of the case. In result, the impugned order has come. He further submits that there are certain points which could not be raised at the time of argument for which permission may be granted to raise the same.

4. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand submits that the order has been passed completely on the basis of the argument which has been placed on record by the counsel for the petitioners and there is no need of any interference.

5. After hearing the parties, it transpires to this court that the impugned order under review is very much clear. The law is very much clear on this issue that the points which ought to be raised at an appropriate stage of the proceeding if not raised, then after the judgment, the said point cannot be raised.



6. In this view of the matter, the present civil review
petition stands dismissed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

