

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2030 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2023 Thana- D.R.I District- Patna

Pappu Kumar, Son of Surendra Prasad Singh, R/O Vill.- Ward No. 6, C/O
Baiju Mistry, Sanjay Nagar Bageshwari, P.S- Delha, Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India through Joint Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, Central Economic Intelligence Bureau, COFEPOSA wing, 6th Floor, 'B' Wing, Janpath Bhawan, Janpath, New Delhi-110001
2. Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, Central Economic Intelligence Bureau, COFEPOSA wing, 6th Floor, 'B' Wing, Janpath Bhawan, Janpath, New Delhi-110001
3. Intelligence Officer, Directorate of Revenue Intelligence, Regional Unit, Patna, Address- Malaynil, House of Smt. Manju Pandey, Budha Colony, Main Road, Patna- 800001.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Dharendra Prasad Sinha, Advocate Ms. Rina Sinha, Advocate
For the UoI	:	Mr. Alok Kumar, CGC Mr. Sanchay Srivastava, Sr. SC (DRI)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

16 29-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the Central Government and the Directorate of
Revenue Intelligence ('DRI').

2. At the outset, this Court has been informed that so
far as the present case is concerned, the matter has become
infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned counsel for the Central Government and the DRI have



jointly informed this Court that the petitioner has served the detention order dated 06.03.2024.

4. At this stage, Mr. Alok Kumar, learned Central Government Counsel has raised an issue saying that by virtue of the order dated 08.10.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner had come out of jail for some time, subsequently, he had surrendered after the said interim order dated 08.10.2024 was vacated, therefore, the competent authority may have to take a view as to whether the detention order is to be extended to that extent or not.

5. This Court would not make any observation on the issue raised by learned Central Government Counsel for the reason that presently, there is nothing on the record showing that any such order has been passed by the Detaining Authority. The question remains open

6. This writ application is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

(Shailendra Singh, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U			
---	--	--	--

