

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15250 of 2025

=====

Mukesh Kumar Singh, Son of Shivji Prasad Singh, Resident of Ward No. 3
Purainiya, P.S.- Minapur, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate-cum-District Election Officer, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Superintending Engineer, Building Circle, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Executive Engineer, Building Division, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raju Kumar, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nadim Seraj, GP-5 with
Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ petition has been filed for the following

relief(s):-

“(i) To issue an appropriate order/s, direction/s including a writ preferably in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing upon the respondent Nos. 2 and 4 to make the payment of a sum of Rs. 1,80,221/- (Rs. One Lakh Eighty Thousand Two Hundred Twenty one only) to the petitioner who has completed the barricading work for vehicle parking on MIT Campus on the eve of Parliamentary Election, 2019.

(ii) To direct the Respondent



Nos. 2 & 4 to make the payment of above mentioned admitted dues of the petitioner along with a penal interest of 5% p.a. on account of delay and latches on the part of respondent.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has stated that after completion of the works entrusted to the petitioner, the petitioner has submitted the bills but till date the same has not been paid. Learned counsel has stated that the petitioner has given representations dated 07.08.2025 (Annexure P/5) and a direction may be given to the authority concerned to consider the same and make the necessary payments in accordance with law.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has stated that he has no objection if a direction is given to the concerned authority to consider the representation made by the petitioner strictly in accordance with law. However learned counsel has stated that the petitioner may be directed to give a fresh representation to the authority concerned.

5. Having regard to the above made submissions, the present CWJC is disposed of directing the petitioner to give a suitable representation ventilating his grievance within a period of four weeks from today. On receipt of the representation, the Respondent No. 4 herein is directed to consider the said



representation made by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In case the claim of the petitioner is found to be genuine, the Respondent No. 4 shall take necessary steps for making the payment of the amount due to the petitioner. In case the authority concerned rejects the claim of the petitioner, he shall pass a reasoned order, giving reasons for the same.

6. It is needless to mention that before passing any orders, the petitioner shall be given an opportunity of hearing. Any order passed shall be communicated to the petitioner.

7. With the above directions, the present CWJC is disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

shakir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

