

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61458 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-119 Year-2025 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Bhagalpur

Naveen Yadav @ Hagga S/o Vilo Yadav R/o Village- Karari Tintanga, Thana-
Gopalpur, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjan Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 119 of 2025 instituted for the offences
under Sections 25(1-B)a/26/35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
petitioner and the co-accused Sonu Yadav @ Dilkhush Kumar
of hiding a black colored bag in a heap of cow dung in the room
of his house. On opening it, two country-made pistols were
found inside it and on opening the second chain, two blue
coloured cloth Vindolias were found. On opening both the
Vindolias, total 10 live cartridges were recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case due to dirty village politics. Though the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but he was neither apprehended on the spot nor anything incriminating has been recovered from his possession. The name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused Sonu Yadav @ Dilkhush Kumar recorded before the police which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. There is a non-compliance of Section 103 of the B.N.S.S. which creates a serious doubt in the prosecution case. The petitioner has four criminal antecedents and, in all of them, he is on bail and is languishing in judicial custody since 18.04.2025 without any rhyme or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Gopalpur P.S. Case
No. 119 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

