

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61056 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2024 Thana- MARANCHI District- Patna

Ajeet Kumar S/o Late Ram Yatan Sah R/o Village - Ramgarh Kent, P.S -
Ramgarh, District - Ramgarh (Jharkhand)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Narayan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, 56.25 litres of illicit liquor was recovered from the car of the petitioner. The driver of the car, namely, Anuj Kumar with co-accused Amar Nath Sah, who was sitting on the back seat of car were apprehended on the spot who disclosed that the said car belongs to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case being the owner of the seized car. Further submission is that on the alleged date of occurrence, the car of the petitioner was taken by his *bhagina*, namely, Amar Nath Sah for treatment



of his ailing mother and without knowledge and consent of the petitioner, his vehicle was being used for transportation of illicit liquor. Petitioner was not present on the spot and he has no concern with the seized liquor. Nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner. It is submitted that petitioner himself surrendered before the Court concerned. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the evidence. Petitioner is in custody since 19.07.2025 and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with Marachi (Pachmahala O.P.) P.S. Case No. 71 of 2024.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

