

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13953 of 2025

1. Ekta D/O- Sri Rajendra Tiwari, R/o Vill. Danapur, Police Station Danapur, in the district of Patna.
2. Ranjeet Kumar son of Rameshwar Prasad, R/O vill.- Bishunpur, Police station Gobindpur, in the district of Nawada.
3. Surendra Kumar R/o Vill. - Baghi, police station - Karpuri Gram in the district of Samastipur.
4. Shruti Goswami R/o Vill.. Rampur Anant, police station -m Dariyapur in the district of Saran at Chhapra.
5. Uday Shankar son of Sri Radheshyam lal, R/O Vill. Kawakol, police station Kawakol, in the district of Nawada.
6. Ranjeet Kumar R/o vill. - Budh Gere, Police station - Muffasil, in the district of Gaya.
7. Abu Sufiyan Son of Md. Salauddin, R/O vill. - Baniyapur police station Baniyapur in the district of Saran.
8. Vikash Kumar Son of Sri Vijay Saw, Resident of Vill. Nawner, P.S. Obra in the district of Aurangabad.
9. Rajesh Kumar Shukla Son of Sri Suryadeo Thakur Resident of village-Bagauchha, Police station -Maharajganj in the district of Siwan.
10. Ram Karan Singh son of Sri. Lakshmi Narayan Singh, R/o vill. Ibrahimpur, Chhaurahi Police Station Chhaurahi in the district of Begusarai.
11. Ranjan Kumar son of Sri Radheshyam prasad, R/O Vill. Piro, P.S. - Piro in the district of Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ramadhar Singh, G.P.-25

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties concerned.

2. The petitioners have filed the present writ application
for direction to the respondent authorities to consider the case of
the petitioners for their posting in accordance with merit cum



choice basis as Headmaster at their respective place in any three districts for which choice has been given and to post them accordingly.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners were selected as head master. Dispute is with regard to posting of the petitioners as per merit-cum-choice. The identical matter has been decided by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWJC No. 11252 of 2025 dated 30.08.2025 and in paragraph 46 of the aforesaid judgment, the Hon'ble Court has taken note of the fact that out of 35334 Head Teachers, 32,672 were allocated districts as per their choice and only 2662 successful candidates were allocated districts through randomization. Similar is the case of Head Masters. The Court directed the committee which has been assigned the work to allocate the preferential district to invite their objections and deal with them manually after acknowledging their home district and their reason for posting in nearby district(s). Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, has been directed to convene a meeting with the Director Secondary Education as well as the Director, Primary Education to frame a model for redressal of grievance of allocation of nearby district after inviting objection, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

4. Learned counsel further submits that petitioners had



given their choice but the same was not followed by the State respondents. Choice of district as given by the petitioners and their respective allotted districts are following.

5. Petitioner No. 1, namely, Ekta has given her choice for three districts as Patna, Bhojpur, Buxar but the allotted district as Supaul. Petitioner No. 2, namely, Ranjeet Kumar has given his choice for three districts as Nawada, Gaya, Nalanda but the allotted district as Kishanganj. Petitioner No. 3, namely, Surendra Kumar has given his choice for district as Samastipur, Muzaffarpur, Vaishali but the allotted district as Katihar. Petitioner No. 4, namely, Shruti Goswami has given her choice for district as Patna, Saran, Jehanabad but the allotted district as Nalanda. Petitioner No. 6, namely, Ranjeet Kumar has given his choice for district as Gaya, Rohtas, Aurangabad but the allotted district as Kishanganj. Petitioner No. 11, namely, Ranjan Kumar has give his choice for district as Bhojpur, Rohtas, Buxar but the allotted district as Saran. Learned counsel submits that list of allotment of Division/District of finally selected candidates has not been prepared in accordance with law. None of the choices given by the petitioners has been considered and the petitioners have been posted on the basis of randomization.

6. Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioners may be directed to file representation before the committee headed by Additional Chief Secretary Education



Department, Government of Bihar, Patna as per the order of this Court passed in CWJC No. 11252 of 2025 and the committee will consider the grievances of the petitioners manually as per the direction of this Court.

7. Considering the aforesaid submissions made by the parties and the nature of prayer made in this writ application and also considering the fact that in similar matter, this court has directed the committee headed by Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna to decide the grievance of the teachers manually, this writ application is disposed with liberty to the petitioners to file a representation before the committee headed by Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna within a period of two weeks and if such representation is filed, the Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna shall dispose the same in the light of judgment given by this Court in CWJC No. 11252 of 2025 at the earliest.

8. According the present writ application is disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

Siwani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

