

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62826 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-171 Year-2025 Thana- DUMRA District- Sitamarhi

Rina Devi @ Rina Kumari W/O- Mahindra Rai R/O Village- Dharmvana,
P.S.- Dumra, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ritwik Thakur, Adv

Ms. Vaishnavi Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1),
103(2) and 61 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and
the informant alleges that Rajesh along with four accused came
to his house and asked him to accompany them along with his
son and both the laptops, accordingly the informant along with
his son and both the laptops accompanied them and reached
their house, where from before, several accused persons
including the petitioner had gathered, further Kapil along with



four accused gave orders to kill, when 16 named accused persons including the petitioner took his son to other side, where seven unknown accused were present from before and the accused snatched the laptop and tied his son in a room and put clothes in his mouth, thereafter took out all his nails from the legs and Rajesh stabbed him while Vijay gave electric shock and the accused left his son in an injured condition and asked the informant to take his son away or else he would be killed.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner, except that she was also present at the place of occurrence and took his son to other side, where seven unknown accused were present from before. It is also submitted that specific allegation of stabbing his son is against Rajesh; and Vijay is alleged to have given electric shock. It is submitted that son of the informant died during the course of treatment.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioner and also taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a woman, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dumra P.S. Case No. 171 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

