

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61561 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-493 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Mukesh Kumar son of Lal Kishor Ray Resident of village- Alipur Mukund
PO- Mahua PS -Mahua District -Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rajeev Ranjan No. II, Adv. Mr. Pranav Kumar, Adv. Ms. Priyanka Kumari, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mrs. Renu Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 08-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs.

Renu Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahua

P.S. Case No. 493 of 2025 instituted for the offences under

Sections 25(1-b)a/26(i) of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the police has recovered

one country-made pistol loaded with one live cartridge from

the possession of the petitioner. It is further alleged on the

disclosures made by the petitioner, the police has also

recovered cash of Rs. 5,300/- robbed from CSP, Aadhar Card

of the owner of CSP namely Ram Dayal Pandit along with a



black Splendor motorcycle from the *Bathan*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. He further submits that the police arrested the petitioner and took his signature on the plain paper and prepared a false and fabricated seizure list. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail and is languishing in judicial custody since 21.04.2025 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail



on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 493 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

