

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14874 of 2025

Sumit Sagar Son of Late Ram Sagar Ray, Resident of Mohalla- Near ST,
Tohomas English School, New Chandmari, Motihari, Police Station- Town
Police Station, District-East Champaran Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, East Champaran at Motihari.
5. The Block Education Officer, Chakiya, East Champaran.
6. The Chakiya Nagar Primary Teacher Employment Unit, through the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.
7. Shama Praveen, D/o Late Abdul Sattar, R/o Madrasa Islama Anjuman, Rampur, East Champaran.
8. Imtiyaz Ahmed Ansari, S/o Tashrif Hussain Ansari, R/o Islahul Muslemin, Bishambharpur, West Champaran.
9. Arunima Sagar, D/o Late Ram Sagar Rai, resident of Mohalla Near St. Thomas English School, New Chandmari, Motihari, P.S. Town Police Station, District East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar
For the Respondent/s : AC to AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 09-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This is an application for commanding and directing the respondent authorities to appoint the petitioner as Nagar Primary Teacher under Chakiya Nagar Primary Teacher Employment Unit, in terms of the selection on the basis of *inter se* merit, with all consequential benefits attached to the post. Alternatively, the petitioner prays for a direction to the concerned District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority to



decide the petitioner's case in accordance with law, and for issuance of such other order or direction for which the petitioner may be found legally entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. At this stage, considering the nature of the dispute, where factual evidence/material would be required for proper adjudication, and since a forum is already provided under the statute for redressal of such grievances, the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ application in order to approach such statute.

4. Permission is granted.

5. Accordingly, the writ application stands disposed of.

6. However, if the District Appellate Authority finds that the case of the petitioner requires consideration, in that case, it shall be open for the District Appellate Authority to give indulgence in the matter.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

