

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63487 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2025 Thana- SUPPI District- Sitamarhi

Balraj Singh @ Bhuntun Singh S/O Late Sonelal Singh Resident of Village-
Sonakhan, P.S.- Suppi, Dist- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate ,

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anish Chandra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Anish Chandra,

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Suppi P.S. Case No. 193/2025 registered for the offence(s)
punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act and Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, 180 litres of
illicit liquor along with one country made pistol, five cartridges
etc. were recovered from a boat. One person was apprehended
and he disclosed the name of the petitioner and one other co-
accused.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has falsely



been implicated in the present case. Name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Priyanshu Kumar @ Ritik Kumar and confessional statement made before police has no evidentiary value. Petitioner, who is having clean antecedent, has no concern with the seized liquor, arms and the boat.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and also the fact that name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Priyanshu Kumar @ Ritik Kumar and confessional statement made before police has no evidentiary value, I am of the opinion that petitioner, who is having clean antecedent, has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Concerned Court where the case is pending in connection with Suppi P.S. Case No. 193/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

