

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60843 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2025 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

Vikash Kumar @ Vikash Thakur S/o Brahmdeo Thakur R/o Village-
Mirzawa, Ward No. 10, P.S.- Triveniganj, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-09-2025 Heard Mr. Pramod Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner
and Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 117 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 04.03.2025
for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 352, 351(2),
69, 89, 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the petitioner is said to
have established physical relationship with the informant on
false pretext of marriage. It is further alleged that the accused
persons including the petitioner got her pregnancy aborted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner
has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in
the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not



committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that infact, the petitioner was in love with the victim girl and with her consent, the petitioner has made physical relationship with her.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he has committed rape upon the informant and statement of the victim has been recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C./183 of the BNSS, 2023 in paragraph 40 of the case diary, which suggests that the petitioner has committed rape upon her and also got her pregnancy aborted once.

6. Considering the nature of allegation as alleged in the F.I.R, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 117 of 2025 pending in the court of learned A.C.J.M.-I, Supaul.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

