

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.186 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9038 of 2021

=====

The Bihar Rajya Chikitsa Karamchari Sangh (INTUC) through its State President, Chandra Prakash Singh, S/o Late Tribhuvan Prasad Singh, aged about 68 years, Male, Office at Water Board Colony, Pani Tanki, Boring Road, Patna-800013 and Resident of Geetanjali, Road No. 03, Rajeev Nagar, Keshri Nagar, Phulawari Patna-800024, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Labour Resource Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resource Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Executive Director, State Health Society, Bihar at Pariwar Kalyan Bhawan, Sheikhpura, Patna-800014.
6. The Director, M/S Pashupatinath Distributor Private Limited, F-2 Chandi Vyapar Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Exhibition Road, Patna, P.S.-Gandhi Maidan, Patna-800001.
7. The Director, M/S Sammaan Foundation, having its Head Office at 2/30, SBI Colony, Khazpura, Jagdeopath, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar-800014.
8. The Chairman-cum-District Magistrate, District Health Society, Patna.
9. The Civil Surgeons cum Member Secretary/s, District Health Society, Bihar.
10. The Regional PF Commissioner, Employees Provident Fund Office, Bhavishyanidhi Bhawan, R-Block, Road No. 6, Serpentine Road, Patna-800001, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.S.D. Yadav(Aag 9)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 03-07-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though

State is present.

2.The present petition has been preferred for the



following relief/s:

(i) for review of the judgement/order dated 24.11.2021 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 9038 of 2021.

3. The short order dated 24.11.2021 by the writ Court in C.W.J.C. No. 9038 of 2021 (The Bihar Rajya Chikitsa Karamchari Sangh vs. the State of Bihar & Ors.) read as follows:

“Heard learned counsel for the parties.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the EPFO (respondent no. 10) submits that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and an enquiry has been instituted and same shall be concluded within a period of two months and the petitioner shall be informed on culmination thereof.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to file afresh if any cause of



action arises.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall participate in the proceedings, if called upon by the concerned authorities.

The petition is disposed off as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid”.

4. From the petition itself, it is clear that the petitioner chose to withdraw the petition to participate in the enquiry that was going on. Instead, the present review petition. The same is fit to be dismissed with cost but since there is no appearance, it is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

