

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61866 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2018 Thana- GOVINDGANJ District- East Champaran

1. Lakhindra Thakur Son of Rajdeo Thakur @ Rajdeo Sahani @ Rajdeo Thakur Sahani Resident of Village - Banaili, P.S. - Kasba, Dist. - Purnea.
2. Balindar Thakur Son of Rajdeo Thakur @ Rajdeo Sahani @ Rajdeo Thakur Sahani R/o Village - Banaili, P.S. - Kasba, Dist. - Purnea.
3. Surendra Thakur Son of Rajdeo Thakur @ Rajdeo Sahani @ Rajdeo Thakur Sahani R/o Village - Banaili, P.S. - Kasba, Dist. - Purnea.
4. Deepak Thakur @ Deepak Kumar Son of Ramnath Mistry R/o Village - Banaili, P.S. - Kasba, Dist. - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 363, 365, 366 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, kidnapped the daughter and grand-daughter of the informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and



have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that they, along with co-accused person, kidnapped the daughter and grand-daughter of the informant. During course of investigation, the victim was recovered and in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr. P.C., she has supported the prosecution case and has named these petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, gravity of offence and statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

