

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61531 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2025 Thana- DERNI BAZAR District- Saran

Ranjit Kumar Singh @ Mukesh Singh @ Ranjan Kumar, Male, aged about 47 years, S/o- Late Bashishth Singh @ Vashishth Narayan Singh, R/o Village- Pirari Ps- Derni Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N. K. Agarwal, Sr. Advocate
Mr.Kumar Gaurav, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Rina Sinha,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2025 Heard Mr. N. K. Agarwal, learned Senior Counsel

along with Mr. Kumar Gaurav, learned counsel appearing on

behalf of the petitioner and Ms. Rina Sinha, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Derni Bazar P.S. Case No. 127 of 2025 registered for the
offence(s) punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1),
109, 303(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the petitioner
inflicted knife blow in the stomach of the son of the informant
and thereafter brother of the petitioner also assaulted him by the
but of the pistol, causing injuries.

4. Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties arising out of land dispute. He further submitted that the petitioner's brother had informed the police on the same day, i.e., 07.06.2025 immediately after the alleged incidence took place but the police refused to institute a case. Again the petitioner took to the knowledge of the police by sending written FIR through electronic mode, i.e., E Mail. He further informs that the present is counter case arising out of the same incidence. The petitioner in his self-defence may have caused some injury on the person of the son of the informant without intention. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is ornamental to show that the petitioner along with other co-accused persons had assembled with an intention to kill the informant and his son. Falsity of the same can be considered after perusing the FIR lodged by the informant's side. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the FIR, it is apparent that there is direct allegation against the petitioner that he had stabbed the son of the informant in the stomach, causing



grievous injury. such observation has been made by the learned District Court on the basis of evidence which was collected in course of investigation in paragraphs no.44 and 45 of the case diary (injury report). In view of direct allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

7. The petitioner, if so advised, may surrender before the learned District Court and seek regular bail. In that case, the learned District Court is directed to consider the bail application of the petitioner on the same day and pass necessary order on the basis of material which has come in course of investigation, without being prejudiced by any observation made in this order, as well as, by his earlier rejection order dated 29.07.2025 and observation made therein.

8. The present petition stands disposed of.

Sanjay/-

(Purnendu Singh, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

