

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.109 of 2017

1. Madan Kumar and Ors Son of Late Ramcharitra Prasad Resident of Village - Dhatta, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
2. Devnarayan Sah Son of Shyamlal Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
3. Jagdish Sah Son of Sahdeo Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
4. Shankar Kumar Gupta Son of Chandeshwar Prasad Gupta Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
5. Lakshami Sah Son of Kankaur Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
6. Nand Kumar Prasad Son of Kankaur Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
7. Shiv Narayan Sah Son of Ramadhin Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
8. Sanjay Kumar Son of Ram Kumar Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
9. Kishori Prasad Sah Son of Khatar Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
10. Chandeshwar Sah Son of Saryug Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
11. Devi Lal Sah Son of Nandalal Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
12. Binod Sah Son of Moti Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
13. Lakshman Gupta Son of Raj Narayan Prasad Gupta Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
14. Shiv Narayan Sah Son of Kanko Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
15. Hari Narayan Sah Son of Shyam Lal Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
16. Raj Kumar Sah Son of Shyam Sundar Sah Resident of Village - Laukaha, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.
17. Dhanma Devi Wife of Late Hari Narayan Prasad Gupta Resident of Village - Dattatol, P.O. - Laukaha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. District Land Acquisition Officer-cum-Competent Authority, Madhubani.
3. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Phulparas, Madhubani.



4. The Circle Officer, Khutauna, Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 30-08-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though
Mr. Dhurjati Kumar, learned G.P. 14 is present.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*for issuance of appropriate writ or
writs, order or orders, direction or directions
commanding the respondents to pay the
compensation amount to the petitioners as
per New Bihar State Settlement and
Rehabilitation Policy 2007 in view of the
acquisition of land of the petitioners, which
has been acquired for construction of road
under the scheme of " Mukhya
MantriGramin SadakYojna'and/or for other
relief or reliefs to which the petitioner may
be found entitled in course of hearing of this
writ application.*

3. A counter affidavit has been filed by the respondent
nos. 1 and 3 duly signed by the Executive Engineer, Rural
Works Department, Phulparas, Madhubani according to which,
no construction has been made on the land in question and



further, the Circle Officer, Khutauna was also requested to measure/demarcate the road.

4. Learned State Counsel has taken this Court to the different Annexures of the writ petition to show that the petitioners volunteered themselves the land for better movement.

5. In this case, counter affidavit was filed in the year 2018 after service of copy to the learned counsel for the petitioner and thereafter, the order sheet shows that twice the adjournments were taken and today there is no appearance.

6. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

