

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61808 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2025 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

1. Sanju Devi Son of Manish Singh R/o Village - Bansohi, P.S. - Mashrakh, District - Saran at Chapra.
2. Lilawati Devi Wife of Hridayashankar Singh R/o Village - Bansohi, P.S. - Masrakh, Dist. - Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Raushan Raj, Advocate
		Mr. Sitesh Kashyap, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 80(1) of the B.N.S. and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his daughter was solemnized with co-accused Abhimanyu Singh as per Hindu rites and rituals. It is alleged that thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, committed torture and harassment with the victim for non-fulfillment of additional



demand of dowry. It is further alleged that on 26.05.2025, the victim called informant and when he reached at her matrimonial house, he came to know that all the accused persons, including these petitioners, committed murder of daughter of informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be sister-in-law (*Gotni*) and Petitioner No. 2 happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against these petitioners. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who is already in custody since 11.08.2025. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean



antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate 7th, Saran at Chapra in connection with Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

