

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61922 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2025 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

Hridayashankar Singh @ Hridaya Shankar Singh Son of Late Jagan Singh  
R/o Village - Bansohi, P.S.- Mashrakh, District - Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                      |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. B.N. Pandey, APP                 |
| For the Informant    | : | Mr. Raushan Raj, Advocate            |
|                      |   | Mr. Sitesh Kashyap, Advocate         |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      09-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the Informant and Mr. B.N. Pandey, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 80(1) of the BNS and under Sections  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that Sonali Kumari (the deceased) was married to one Abhimanyu Singh. It is alleged that she was subjected to cruelty on non-fulfillment of dowry demand. On 20.05.2015 the deceased called the informant and informed him that she was being assaulted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is the father-in-law. The nature of allegation is general and omnibus and from perusal of the post-mortem



report at Annexure-P/2 it will transpire that no injury was found on the person of the deceased and the cause of death could not be ascertained. It has also been submitted that as per the case of the prosecution the deceased was rushed to the hospital prior to her death and from perusal of Annexure-P/3 it will transpire that the Doctor has opine unknown substance ingestion and unconscious. The petitioner is a man of clean antecedent and is in judicial custody since 28.05.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Masrakh P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 7<sup>th</sup>, Saran at Chapra.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

durgesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

