

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59976 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-250 Year-2025 Thana- JAMOBABAZAR District- Siwan

Rajeev Kumar Singh @ Thakur Singh @ Rajeev Singh Son of Bishwanath Singh @ Vishvanath Singh Resident of Village- Khauri Pakad, P.S.- Jambhaz Bazar, Distt.- Siwan, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prashant Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1

Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 11-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 21.06.2025, the accused persons including the petitioner surrounded him at 12.00 Noon and Priyanshu assaulted Vivek by daab causing injury on head, thereafter Rajeev assaulted Chhathu and Priyanshu assaulted



Rohit by lathi on head, hand and leg causing injury. Further, Prinyanshu and Priyansh again assaulted Vivek on head causing injury. Further, the reason for the occurrence is that accused persons fraudulently got the land of his aunt executed in their favour.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant specifically alleges who assaulted whom and by what, but then, with respect to petitioner, it is only alleged that he assaulted Chhathu, as such, the allegation of assault with respect to the petitioner is vague

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application, but then, they are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that allegation of assault against the petitioner of assaulting Chhathu is vague.

6. Considering the submissions and also taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his



arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Siwan in connection with Jamo Bazar P. S. Case No.250 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

