

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60700 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2025 Thana- GRIYAK District- Nalanda

1. Pappu Yadav S/O Kailu Yadav Resident of Village- Ghosawan, Dularua Bigha, P.S.- Giriyak, District- Nalanda
2. Mohan Yadav S/O Late Baijnath Yadav Resident of Village- Ghosawan, Dularua Bigha, P.S.- Giriyak, District- Nalanda
3. Dinesh Yadav S/O Vishun Yadav Resident of Village- Ghosawan, Dularua Bigha, P.S.- Giriyak, District- Nalanda
4. Ashok Yadav S/O Shiv Nandan Yadav Resident of Village- Ghosawan, Dularua Bigha, P.S.- Giriyak, District- Nalanda
5. Dharmendra Yadav S/O Sunil Yadav Resident of Village- Ghosawan, Dularua Bigha, P.S.- Giriyak, District- Nalanda
6. Dinesh Yadav @ Degan Yadav S/O Munirak Yadav Resident of Village- Ghosawan, Dularua Bigha, P.S.- Giriyak, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2025 Heard Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Giriyak (Pawapuri) P.S. Case No. 197 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 115(2), 329(3), 352, 76, 109, 303(3) and 351(2) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, all the accused persons including the petitioners, who are next door



neighbour to the informant, had entered into the house of the informant and with an intention to kill, had assaulted the informant and his family member.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against all the accused persons including the petitioners. Injuries sustained by the informant side has been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioners seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail and submitted that there is direct allegation against petitioners no. 1 and 2, to have assaulted the sister-in-law of the informant on her vital part of the body and other petitioner, with a common intention to kill the informant and his family members, had assaulted them.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, considering the specific allegation made against petitioner no.1, namely, Pappu Yadav and petitioner no. 2, namely, Mohan Yadav, who have assaulted the sister-in-law of the informant causing head injury, I am not



inclined to enlarge the petitioners no. 1 and 2 on pre-arrest bail.

7. So far as petitioners no. 3 to 6 are concerned, the allegation being general and omnibus against them, they are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Biharsharif, Nalanda, in connection with Giriyak (Pawapuri) P.S. Case No. 197 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners no. 3 to 6 as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners no. 3 to 6 as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

9. Accordingly, the present bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

