

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63563 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-146 Year-2014 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Nawada

=====

Raju Tiwari @ Guddu Tiwari Son of Nand Kishore Tiwari Resident of Village
- Bhumai, Police Station - Akbarpur in the district of nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sucheta Yadav, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 14-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Government Official Complaint Case No. 146 of 2014 for the offence under Section 47A of the Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, total recovery of 15 litres of country made liquor has been made from the house of the petitioner and he succeeded to flee away by taking the advantage of distance.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in the present case as nothing has been recovered either from the possession of the petitioner or from the house of the petitioner. Since the year 2010 the petitioner has not resided



in his village as the petitioner was doing private job in Calcutta and the petitioner has no knowledge about the alleged occurrence.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail and submits that

6. On the alleged date of occurrence i.e., 10.01.2014 at about 04 P.M., informant alongwith other police officials raided the house of the petitioner. After seeing the police officials, petitioner succeeded to flee away taking advantage of distance. It is further alleged that during course of search, 15 litres of country made liquor, kept in a plastic galon was recovered from his house. Petitioner is having criminal history according to para 3 of bail petition. And as per the impugned order he is absconding since long. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application of the petitioner stands rejected.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

