

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2996 of 2024

In
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2192 of 2018

=====

Madan Prasad Son of Late Ramashish Sah, Resident of Village-Pakaridayal,
Police Station-Pakaridayal, District-East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Home Department Govt. of Bihar Old Secretariat, Bihar, namely Sri Arvind Kumar Chaudhary.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar Patna namely R.S. Bhatti.
3. The Zonal Inspector General, Patna, Bihar, Patna, namely Sri Bhriгу Srinivasan.
4. The Deputy Inspector General, Bettiah, District-West Champaran, namely Sri Pranav Kumar Praveen.
5. The District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari namely Sri Saurav Jokhar.
6. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Pakaridayal, East Champaran at Motihari, namely Sri Avinash Kumar.
7. The Superintendent of Police, East Champaran at Motihari, namely Sri Kantesh Kumar Mishra.
8. The Station House Officer, Pakaridayal Police Station, District-East Champaran namely Smt. Shakuntala Singh.
9. Bigu Sah, son of Late Kapil Sah, resident of Village-Dumarwana, Ward No. 10, Police Station-Pakaridayal, District-East Champaran.
10. Basu Sah, son of Late Kapil Sah, resident of Village-Dumarwana, Ward No. 10, Police Station-Pakaridayal, District-East Champaran.
11. Shree Sah, son of Jai Mandal Sah, resident of Village-Dumarwana, Ward No. 10, Police Station-Pakaridayal, District-East Champaran.
12. Fulgen Sah, son of Nathuni Sah, resident of Village-Dumarwana, Ward No. 10, Police Station-Pakaridayal, District-East Champaran.
13. Shankar Sah, son of Nathuni Sah, resident of Village-Dumarwana, Ward No. 10, Police Station-Pakaridayal, District-East Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Dhurendra Kumar, A.C. to G.P.-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 11-04-2025 None appears for the petitioner.



2. Learned counsel for the State submits that it is the petitioner who is delaying the erection of boundary-wall.

3. This application is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh contempt petition if the need arises.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Saif/-

U			
---	--	--	--

