

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61059 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-793 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Amod Rai @ Amod Ray S/o Shiv Narain Rai @ Shiv Narain Ray R/o Village-
Bishunpur Parsi, Ward No. 7, P.S.- Mahua, Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aniket Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered under Section 275 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, 340 litres of illicit liquor kept in jute sacks was recovered near the land of Pramod Rajak. Petitioner was apprehended on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Further submission is that the illicit liquor was recovered from open place easily accessible to the public at large. Nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner has two criminal antecedents of similar nature in



which he is on bail and there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the evidence. Petitioner is in custody since 22.07.2025 and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 793 of 2025 with further conditions:-

(i) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(ii) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidence, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bond;

(iii) the petitioner shall desist from committing any



criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty
to take steps for cancellation of his bail bond.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

