

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59421 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2025 Thana- MANPUR District- Nalanda

=====

Rahul Kumar S/o- Saryug Paswan Village- Utarthu Ps- Bind Dist- Nalanda,
A/P- Village- Dhanuki Ps- Manpur Dist- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Advocate Mr. Binod Kumar Sinha, Advocate Ms. Pooja, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 11-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Manpur P.S. Case No. 102 of 2025 instituted for the offence
under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61 & 3(5) of
the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66(c) & 66(D)
of the IT Act.

3. On 09.06.2025, the informant along with police
party conducted a raid against cyber fraud based on secret
information. Pradeep Kumar and Bhola Kumar were caught at
Alang with mobiles used for loan fraud in the name of Bajaj
Finance. Later, Rahul Kumar (petitioner) and Ashok Paswan



were arrested at Dhanuki More with mobiles used for cheating people in the name of currency coins.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 10-06-2025. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. From perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that one mobile phone is allegedly recovered from the petitioner, but there is no material to link the said mobile phone for the purpose of cyber fraud. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no transaction of money has been shown in the FIR.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and manner of petitioner's implication, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing



bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties
of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court
below/concerned Court in connection with Manpur P.S. Case
No. 102 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

