

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61469 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDI District- Nalanda

1. Pramod Manjhi S/O Late Jawahar Manjhi @ Late Jawahir Manjhi Resident of Village- Badhauna, Police Station- Chandi, District- Nalanda (Bihar).
2. Dharmo Manjhi @ Dhame Manjhi S/O Late Jawahar Manjhi @ Late Jawahir Manjhi Resident of Village- Badhauna, Police Station- Chandi, District- Nalanda (Bihar).
3. Munna Manjhi S/O Saryug Manjhi Resident of Village- Badhauna, Police Station- Chandi, District- Nalanda (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Kumar Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Chandi P.S. Case No. 124 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 190, 191(1), 126(2), 115(2), 109, 117(2), 352 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the accused persons including the petitioners is of assaulting the informant with axe, sickle, wire chain etc. due to which the informant sustained grievous injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the



petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence as alleged against them and have falsely been implicated in the present case due to ulterior motive and dirty village politics. There is no specific or direct allegation of any overt act against the petitioners rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. Both the parties are next door neighbours. From perusal of the injury report of the informant, it appears that except one injury, all other injuries are simple in nature. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent and are languishing in judicial custody since 30.06.2025 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody of the petitioners, the petitioners having no criminal antecedent as also there being no specific allegation of assault against the petitioners, let the petitioners, abovenamed, be released on bail, ***after framing of charge if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in



connection with Chandi P.S. Case No. 124 of 2025, subject to the following conditions;

(i) One of the bailor(s) shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(ii) The petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, their bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

