

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59986 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2025 Thana- Nagara District- Saran

=====

Jiut Ram S/O Late Shiv Nath Ram R/O- Affaur Purab Tola, P.S - Nagra,
District - Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Hemant Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 07-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2),
118(2), 109, 352 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that all the
F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner,
assaulted father of informant with sickle due to which he died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence.
The deceased is none else than brother of this petitioner and
only on account of land dispute, petitioner has falsely been
implicated in this case. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been



submitted and petitioner is in custody since 05.05.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along two other accused persons, assaulted father of informant with sickle due to which he died. The *post mortem* report corroborates the prosecution case. Petitioner has got one criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, gravity of offence, materials that have surfaced during course of investigation and criminal antecedent of the petitioner, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 05.05.2025, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

