

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64088 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-476 Year-2023 Thana- MADHEPURA COMPALINT CASE
District- Madhepura

=====

Mukesh Kumar S/O Ram Chandra Mehta Resident of Village- Hasanpura,
ward No. 05, P.S- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Chandni Kumari D/O Pramod Mehta, W/O Mukesh Kumar R/O Village-
Kalhua, Ward No.- 11, P.S- Shankarpur, Distt.- Madhepura.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP.
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Adv.
		Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
		Ms. Laxmi Kumari, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

6 06-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for
the State and learned counsel for the complainant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 498(A) of
the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition
Act.

3. Petitioner, who is husband of complainant, is said to
have tortured upon her physically and mentally in association of
his family members over the dowry demand.

4. Vide order dated 16.12.2024, it would appear that
earlier the matter was referred to the Mediation Centre, Patna
High Court for resolving the dispute between the parties. The



report received from the Mediation Centre, however, discloses that the said mediation between the parties has failed.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are not correct and as a matter of fact, the petitioner used to keep his wife with due dignity and honour, but it was the complainant who left the matrimonial house voluntarily for reasons best known to her. It is further submitted that petitioner will neither abscond nor tamper with the evidence rather will cooperate in the investigation.

6. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel appearing for the complainant oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail supporting the allegations made in the complaint petition.

7. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner makes an offer that the petitioner would make the payment of Rs.2000.00/- (Rupees Two Thousand) per month to the complainant in the second week of every month to which learned counsel for the complainant agrees.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a



period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 476 of 2023, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

9. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order being passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceedings.

10. Learned counsel for the complainant is directed to furnish the bank account details of the complainant in the learned Court below. If the complainant fails to furnish the same, the aforesaid amount will be deposited in the learned Court below which will be released in favour of the complainant after she furnishes her bank account details. If the petitioner fails to pay the aforesaid amount on two consecutive months, the complainant shall be at liberty to move before the learned Court below for cancelling the bail bond of the petitioner.

11. Accordingly, this application stands disposed of.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

