

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59259 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2025 Thana- SINDHIYA District- Samastipur

1. RAVINDRA PRASAD MAHTO @ RABINDRA PRASAD @ RAVINDRA MAHTO S/O MAHESH CHANDRA MAHTO @ MAHESH PRASAD MAHTO R/O VILLAGE- WARI, P.S.- SINGHIYA , DISTRICT- SAMASTIPUR
2. NAVIN MAHTO @ NAVIN KUMAR MAHTO S/O RAVINDRA PRASAD MAHTO @ RABINDRA PRASAD @ RAVINDRA MAHTO R/O VILLAGE- WARI, P.S.- SINGHIYA , DISTRICT- SAMASTIPUR
3. GOPAL MAHTO @ ABHISHEK KUMAR MAHTO S/O DHIRENDRA MAHTO @ DHIRENDRA PRASAD MAHTO @ DHIRENDRA PRASAD R/O VILLAGE- WARI, P.S.- SINGHIYA , DISTRICT- SAMASTIPUR
4. PRAVIN MAHTO @ PRAVIN KUMAR RAI S/O JAIKANT RAI R/O VILLAGE- WARI, P.S.- SINGHIYA , DISTRICT- SAMASTIPUR
5. DHIRENDRA MAHTO @ DHIRENDRA PRASAD MAHTO @ DHIRENDRA PRASAD S/O MAHESH CHANDRA MAHTO @ MAHESH PRASAD MAHTO R/O VILLAGE- WARI, P.S.- SINGHIYA , DISTRICT- SAMASTIPUR
6. AMIT MAHTO S/O RAVINDRA PRASAD MAHTO @ RABINDRA PRASAD @ RAVINDRA MAHTO R/O VILLAGE- WARI, P.S.- SINGHIYA , DISTRICT- SAMASTIPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 11-11-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109 and 103(1) of the B.N.S..



3. As per prosecution case, informant, namely Chandra Shekhar Mahto, alleged that on 17.02.2025 at about 8 PM, while father of informant was returning, in the meantime, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, assaulted him and pressed his chest as a result of which he died during course of treatment.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence and petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute. Though as per prosecution case it is alleged that all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, assaulted father of informant, however, as per post mortem report, no external injury was found on the body of the deceased which itself falsifies the entire prosecution case. Doctor has opined cause of death due to acute myocardial infraction resulting in cardio respiratory failure. Police after investigation submitted final form against these petitioners, however, differing with the same the learned trial court took cognizance. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the



petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, materials that have surfaced during course of investigation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rosera, Samastipur in connection with Singhiya P.S. Case No. 27 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

