

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60003 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-171 Year-2025 Thana- DUMRA District- Sitamarhi

Arvita Devi @ Ravita Devi W/O Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Prasad Yadav R/O
Village- Dharmana @ Dharbana, P.S- Dumra, Distt.- Sitmarhi.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs.Jyoti Kumari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-10-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dumra P.S. Case No. 171 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 103(1), 103(2) and 61 of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (in short, the 'B.N.S.').

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the First Information Report and is in custody since 03.06.2025.

4. As per FIR, the son of the informant was assaulted by seven unknown persons on the pretext of checking his two laptops out of certain suspicion (not disclosed). It is alleged that son of the informant was taken away to a nearby room, where he was physically assaulted by



co-accused Rajesh Yadav by using knife and also by Vijay Yadav by using electric shock.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner was not amongst the seven co-accused persons, who actively found involved in assaulting the son of the informant on the pretext of checking his laptop. It is submitted that allegation of physical assault is available against Rajesh yadav and Vijay Yadav leading to death of son of the informant. It is pointed out that merely as petitioner was the part of crowd, which was assembled in front of the house of the petitioner, she was implicated with the present case. It is submitted that considering the aforesaid aspect the co-accused Rina Devi @ Rina Kumari was granted Anticipatory Bail by one of the learned coordinate Bench of this Court through Cr. Misc. No. 62826/2025 dated 17.09.2025.

6. While concluding argument, it is submitted that petitioner, who is a lady, has no criminal antecedent and moreover, investigation of this case is already completed, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no



chance of tampering with the evidence.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

8. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of the fact as petitioner appears *prima facie* only the part of crowd without attributing any overt act, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner being a lady of clean antecedent remains in custody since 03.06.2025, accordingly, above-named petitioner is directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Sitamarhi/ concerned court, in connection with Dumra P.S. Case No. 171 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 437(3) Cr.P.C/Section 480(3) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (in short “B.N.S.S.”).

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

