

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65351 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2024 Thana- BIHRA District- Saharsa

Ranjeet Sah Son of Shashikant Sah R/o Village- Telhar, P.S.- Mahishi,
District- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar
- 2. Sikandra Sah S/o Late Harijan Sah, R/o village- Rahua, Ward No. 06, P.S-
Bihra, Dist-Saharsa

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Rashmi Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Khurshid Anwar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 04-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bihra
P.S. Case No. 33 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 304B, 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
alongwith the family members tortured and killed the deceased
for the non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel referring to supplementary affidavit submitted that deceased was eight months pregnant and she had died unfortunately due to complications during pregnancy. Learned counsel further submitted that initially the complaint case was lodged against the petitioner after a long period of more than one year, which in itself creates doubt over the prosecution story and on the basis of the aforesaid complaint, the case was registered and investigation was taken up under Section 156(3) of the Cr.P.C., Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case under Section 304B, 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 11.03.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner being the husband of the deceased and also the fact that charge-sheet has been submitted against him under Section 304B of the I.P.C., this Court is not inclined to



grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

