

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62499 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-148 Year-2025 Thana- RAMGARHWA District- East
Champaran

-
1. Munni Lal Yadav @ Munilal Yadav Son of Late Yugul Yadav Resident of Village - Pantoka, Police Station - Ramgarhwa, District - East Champaran.
 2. Bunni Lal Yadav @ Bunilal Yadav Son of Late Yugul Yadav Resident of Village - Pantoka, Police Station - Ramgarhwa, District - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhurendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Gauri Shankar Gupta, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 09-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Ramgarhwa P.S. Case No. 148 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 126(@), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioners along with other co-accused persons have assaulted the informant and his family members. It is further alleged that petitioner no. 1 gave *farsa* blow and petitioner no. 2 iron rod blow to the informant due to which he sustained injuries.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that there is a land dispute between the parties. Both the parties are *gotias* to each other. It is further submitted that from perusal of the injury report it appears that that there is no any cut injury on the head, rather the lacerated wound was found on head and the injury caused to the son of the informant is simple in nature. The petitioners are in custody since 17.05.2025 and have got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail ***after framing of charge, if not already framed*** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Ramgarhwa P.S.
Case No. 148 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

- (I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioners.
- (II) The petitioners shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

Rajorshi/-

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

