

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2023 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE -
MUZAFFARPUR(EAST) District- Muzaffarpur

- Petitioner/s

Versus

- Opposite Party/s

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Ranjan
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Sharda Kumari

2 22-09-2025 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 448, 323, 342 and 354 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 8 and 12 of POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have antecedent of one case and the complainant alleges that Raj Kumar keeps bad eyes on her minor daughter, further on 17-4-2025 at 4 pm Raj Kumar entered her house and started acting inappropriately with her daughter, on which she raised alarm, accordingly complainant came and saw that he was trying to commit



rape, thereafter she along with her daughter went to the house of accused and complained to his father, on which accused persons abused them and assaulted them and disrobed the complainant, thereafter on same day at 8 pm accused persons came and assaulted her husband and brother and snatched chain and mobile of her brother, further police came and at the instance of accused took her and her brother to police station but later released them.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the complainant. It is next submitted that the side of the petitioners also instituted complaint case no. 15 of 2023 under various sections of the IPC and POCSO against the complainant and her side. It is further submitted that the instant complaint case was instituted by way of counter-blast. It is next submitted that in Complaint Case No. 15 of 2023, the complainant had alleged against the husband and brother-in-law of the complainant that they acted inappropriately with his minor daughter, accordingly the instant complaint case came to be instituted by the instant complainant whose husband is an accused in Complaint Case No. 15 of 2023. It is submitted that in Complaint Case No. 15 of 2023, cognizance has been taken. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the instant complainant, it would manifest that the same does not inspire confidence for the reason that it does not appear probable that had Raj Kumar acted inappropriately with the daughter of the



complainant, in that event the complainant and her daughter would not have gone to the house of Raj Kumar to complain against him rather would have approached the police station or would have informed the neighbours.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Complaint Case No. 16 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

