

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58712 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2025 Thana- RAMKRISHNANAGAR District- Patna

Kumar Vineet S/o Late G.B Sharma R/o Mahavir Nagar, Road No 04, P.S.-  
Beur, Patna, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Snehi Kumari W/o Shambhu Kumar R/o Akshay Nagar, Road No. 2,  
Jafriayrpur, P.S.- Ramkrishna Nagar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                                                                |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mrs. Shama Sinha, Adv.<br>Mr. Rajan Prakash, Adv.<br>Ms. Anuradha Mishra, Adv. |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP                                                     |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      09-09-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State along with learned counsel appearing on  
behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 85 and  
3(5) of the BNS, 2023 & Sections 3/4 D.P. Act, 1961.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioner, at the outset, submits that the offences for which an  
FIR has been instituted carries punishment of seven years and  
less. It is further submitted that petitioner has been given notice  
under Section 35 BNSS. It is also submitted that petitioner is  
cooperating in the investigation but then it is submitted that the



police may arrest, after seeking permission of the learned Magistrate, as such petitioner apprehends arrest, on which, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that in the event if the police seeks permission of the learned Magistrate for arresting the petitioner in that event an apprehension would arise.

4. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with liberty to file afresh, if need arises.

5. Permission is accorded.

6. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

