

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59012 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2024 Thana- SURYAGARHA District- Lakhisarai

Shailesh Yadav Son of Mithilesh Yadav R/O Village- Nawabganj, P.S.-
Suryagarha, District- Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Kumar, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 14-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Suryagarha P.S. Case No. 80 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 307, 379 and 385 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along with the other co-accused persons arrived at the brick kiln of the informant and started firing at the informant. It is further alleged that Shailesh Yadav (petitioner) and the co-accused persons surrounded the informant and assaulted him with khanti. It is



further alleged that the petitioner and the co-accused person, namely, Bumma Yadav snatched Rs. 2,000/- from the informant and demanded Rs. 5 lakh as extortion for running the brick kiln.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. There is no eyewitness to the alleged occurrence. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Similarly situated co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 30.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 44918 of 2025. The petitioner carries three criminal antecedents as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Lakhisarai, in connection with Suryagarha P.S. Case No. 80 of 2024.



7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

