

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 66645 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-552 Year-2024 Thana- KANKARBAG District- Patna

Praveen Kumar Son of Sunil Das R/o Village - Kapsouna, P.S.- Shahkund,
District - Bhagalpur. Presently residing at Ashok Nagar Road No. 8/A Post -
Lohiyanagar, Kankarbag, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anupa Nand Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 552 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 76, 126(2), 115(2), 352 of the BNS and 66(E) and 66(A) of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is of uploading obscene photographs and video of the informant on social media despite the fact that the engagement of marriage between the petitioner and the informant came to an end on account of his ill behaviour.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that though on 02.11.2023 in presence of both the family members



the Ring ceremony was performed but unfortunately just after a day of ceremony, the family members of the informant had refused to marry her daughter with the petitioner only on account of his caste. Despite refusal of marriage, since there was good relationship between the petitioner and the informant, they had been meeting to each other but on account of some instigation made by other family members, the present FIR came to be instituted. It is further submitted that after institution of the FIR, the petitioner has also instituted a complaint case. Even if the allegation is taken to be true, it is the contention of the petitioner that no photograph, which is said to be obscene, has been uploaded; moreover the petitioner is a man of fair antecedent and he undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that because of the act of the petitioner, the informant is not only facing serious threat to her reputation but also her second engagement with another boy also disrupted.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of nature of allegation, coupled with the fair antecedent, let the



petitioner abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-XI, Patna in connection with Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 552 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further conditions:

(i) that if the petitioner shall be found involved in teasing or victimizing the informant in any way, she shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of the bail of the petitioner, and

(ii) that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

