

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61906 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-549 Year-2021 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

1. Guddu Kumar @ Guddu Kumar Singh S/o Vishwa Singh @ Vidya Singh RO Village- Sabalpur Newal Tola, PS- Sonpur @ Sonepur, District- Saran
2. Pappu Kumar @ Samir Kumar Singh S/o Satya Prakash Samant @ Satya Prakash Singh RO in front of Sonpur @ Sonepur, Prakahand Karyalaya, P.S.- Sonpur, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Chandra Gandhi, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER**

2 12-12-2025 Heard Manish Chandra Gandhi, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with Sonpur @ Sonepur P.S. Case No.549 of 2021 instituted under Sections 341, 323, 379, 354(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code lodged on 23.09.2021 by the informant, Sweta Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on 14.09.2021 in the night to return home after attending birthday celebration, these petitioners intercepted, Guddu Singh



threw her down on the ground causing injury while Pappu Kumar tried to outrage her modesty. As her brother-in-law came to rescue, they opened fire which led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they met with an accident, only because the brother of the petitioner no.1 was killed in which the husband of the informant amongst other the accused, to give it a colour of assault, he submits that the Police did not found to be true and submitted final form but cognizance has been taken necessitating this anticipatory bail.

5. Learned APP, Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh opposes the prayer submitting that the assault theory is there, cognizance has been taken, para-3 shows the criminal antecedent of petitioner no.1.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties as also the materials on record and the allegation that has come against him though it is true that the final form was submitted in the present case, now cognizance has been taken, it would be appropriate that the petitioner seek bail. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected. However, if the petitioner surrenders within a period of four weeks from today, the Court concerned shall take into account all these facts including the earlier final



form, later cognizance taken as also the husband of the informant and brother of the accused has been killed, dispose of the same preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

