

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58531 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-158 Year-2024 Thana- SONPUR RAIL P.S. District- Saran

Pappu Kumar @ Pappu Singh @ Ritesh Singh @ Pappu Kumar Singh Son of Bishwa Nath Singh Resident of Village - Digha, P.S.- Ghorasahan, District - East Champaran. Presently Residing at Mohalla - Khoda Nagar, In front of Puja Hotel, Ward No.- 14, P.S.- Chhatauni, Motihari, District - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Jagjit Roshan, Advocate Mr.Jayant Kumar Ray, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms.Meena Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sonpur Rail P.S. Case No. 158 of 2024 registered for the offences under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 336(4), 338, 340(2) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, two persons were apprehended by police at Sonpur railway station and they were found to be arranging fraudulent joining letter for the candidates. It is further alleged that from possession of the apprehended accused namely Deepak Kumar Tiwari, two mobile phones, ATM card and PAN card were recovered. It is



further submitted that the said apprehended persons disclosed that it was the petitioner and Saksham Srivastava who were running fake railway protection force training centre and were duping youths.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the instant case at the behest of the apprehended accused persons as he has no connection whatsoever with the said occurrence. Further submission is that the petitioner gave his house on rent to the co-accused namely Saksham Srivastava vide rent agreement dated 22.04.2024 which is brought on record vide Annexure 'P/4'. Learned counsel further submits that the police during the course of investigation could not corroborate the allegations levelled by the apprehended persons which would go on to establish that the petitioner was hand in gloves with the apprehended persons. Learned counsel further submits that the police had granted benefit of Section 25(b) of B.N.S.S. to the petitioner after considering the rent agreement in a case when arms were recovered from the rented house. It is lastly submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedent barring a subsequent case which has been filed being Muffasil P.S. Case No. 603/2024 for the recovery of arms during the course of investigation in the present case.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer



for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioner above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sonpur Rail P.S. Case No. 158 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar



nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

