

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58998 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-207 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

Jaldhar Kumar Bhagaru Tanti @ Bhagwat Tanti R/o Village - Tanti Mirbigha,
P.S. - Warisaliganj, Dist. - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 23-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Choubey Jawahar, learned APP for the State. Perused the case diary called for in Cr. Misc. No. 56712 of 2025.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, read with Sections 66(B) and 66(D) of the I.T. Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on a secret information, the police raided Tanti Mirbigha and arrested six accused persons and recovered mobile phones, SIM cards, documents and customer data used for online loan fraud by impersonating bank staff. It is alleged that they used to cheat



public in the name of Dhani Finance and Services for providing online loan.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner but, as per allegation, one Vivo Smart mobile has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner which does not belong to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and has been made scapegoat in this case by the police. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence of cyber fraud. The petitioner is in custody since 22.04.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner again submits that the co-accused persons namely Triveni Kumar and Rohit Kumar have been granted bail by this Court vide orders dated 02.09.2025 and 03.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos. 59758 of 2025 and 60166 of 2025 respectively.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed



the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner as also the prayer for bail being based on parity, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

