

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59419 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2025 Thana- HALAI District- Samastipur

Munna Kumar S/o Sri Arun Kumar Mahto R/o Village- Malpura Jorpura, P.S.-
Halai, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Maruth Nath Roy, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-10-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Halai P.S. Case No. 23 of 2025 registered for the offences

under Sections 309(6) and 109(1) of the Bhartiya Nyay

Sanhita, 2023 (in short, the 'B.N.S.').

3. The accused/petitioner is not named in the First

Information Report and is in custody since 18.03.2025.

4. As per FIR, some unknown persons committed

robbery and while committing so, looted purse, Aadhar Card,

Pan Card and other documents of the informant and thereafter,

fled away from the place of occurrence. The informant was said

to be an employee of Bandhan Bank.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on



behalf of the petitioner that name of this petitioner transpired on the basis of suspicion arising out of mobile phone dump data. It is pointed out that on the basis of said suspicion, the petitioner was apprehended who confessed his involvement with the present crime in question.

6. It is submitted that in furtherance of self confession, Rs. 2000/- in cash, Pan Card of the informant along with two forms of Bandhan bank said to have been recovered from possession of this petitioner.

7. It is pointed out that cash, as said to be recovered from the possession of petitioner, *prima facie* cannot be connected in want of details and denomination and just to show strong implication of the petitioner with the present crime in question, Pan Card of the informant and forms of Bandhan Bank was shown recovered from possession of this petitioner. It is further pointed out that petitioner was not put on TIP as yet.

8. While concluding argument, it is submitted that petitioner found involved in one more criminal case where he is on bail and moreover, investigation of this case is already completed, charge-sheet has been submitted and as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.



9. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

10. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of the fact as recovered cash from the possession of petitioner, *prima facie* cannot be connected with looted currency note in want of details and denomination, coupled with the fact that petitioner remains in custody since 18.03.2025, where investigation of this case is already completed, accordingly, above-named petitioner is directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Sahpur Patori, Samastipur/concerned court, in connection with Halai P.S. Case No. 23 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 437(3) Cr.P.C/Section 480(3) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (in short "B.N.S.S.").

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

